Have you ever asked a question
you already knew the answer to?
Or asked a question
to trap or trick someone?
"Tripp, are you going to put away
the ice cream carton
you got out?"

Clinically, I believe we call this passive aggressive and I do it very well.

So does Luke's lawyer.



It seems like today trial lawyers
make a career out of
asking questions they already know
the answer to.

But this lawyer wants something and his agenda already reveals a certain mistrust or suspicion when it comes to Jesus;

> Luke puts it plainly, he wants to test Jesus and justify himself.

At first, his question seems simple,

What must I do to inherit eternal life?

It is like an easy under-handed lob for a rabbi.

On the surface it seems innocent;

but its simplicity is almost a baited trap.

Luke wants us to see
the flaw in the lawyer's question
—when the lawyer uses the verb for *do*,

(as in what must **I** do to inherit eternal life)
his do implies a single, one-time action
—something to do efficiently—
as if perhaps
he can inherit eternal life before lunch.<sup>1</sup>

Not only is his question

a, one and done sort of mentality,

his question also frames eternal life

as though it were a commodity to possess.

Eternal life meant first, salvation for life lived here and now so that when all things had been made right, when *tikkum olam*, the repair of the world had been reached, in the fullness of time then eternal life would be for all.

Which is why Jesus, sensing the flaws and misunderstanding in question cleverly asks the lawyer,

what is written in the law,
what **do you** read and understand
is written there?
You are a guide and leader of the law,
what do you understand it says?
How do you apply it to your life?



The lawyer answers correctly,
quoting Deuteronomy
—love the Lord your God
with all your heart
and with all your soul

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Amy-Jill Levine, Short Stories by Jesus: The Enigmatic Parables of A Controversial Rabbi (HarperOne, New York) pg.84

and with all your strength and with all your your mind and intention and love your neighbor as yourself

But here is where

he hopes reel Jesus into his trap:
and as he pushes further,
he reveals what is truly in his heart
by asking,
well, then who is my neighbor?

It is like when you tell your children,
don't touch anything
at your great grandmother's house
and they fire back with the sassy,
well then where can I sit?
When the lawyer asks, who is my neighbor,
he is essentially asking,
who is that I do not
have to show the divine love toward?
Who can I ignore
and who can I leave out of my circle?2

Thus—and I just don't get to say *thus* enough,
thus Jesus begins one of the most famous New Testament stories,
a parable of a wounded man
and three attempts to right by a neighbor.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ibid, pg. 93

```
There is a rule of three that works really well in stories.
```

An expected, successful outcome is projected

by two previous failed attempts.

Think the Three Little Pigs:

if the first house of straw falls,

and second the house of sticks blows over

then surely we expect

the third house of bricks stand by

the hairs of his chinny, chin, chin.

Even three characters work well together:

how about if say,

Larry, Moe and....?

Okay this one is harder

what if I say

...the lost sheep,

the lost coin,

the lost...(son).

(Some of you have been paying attention/

that was from earlier this winter

when we looked at the parable of the Prodigal Son.)

You see Jesus uses this method, even for his own stories:

the man who had 100 sheep

and lost the one,

the woman who had 10 coins

and lost one,

are the set up for the man

who had two sons

and lost one.

The rule of three in story telling is that the first two act as a set up for the third.

It's a build up of expectation and a good storyteller knows this.

So Jesus sets up the story:

a man is going down from Jerusalem back to Jericho.

Whatever he has done in Jerusalem, it is finished and he is now headed in another direction.

But on the way he is beaten and robbed and left for dead.

It just so happens that a priest was also going down from Jerusalem, so this means he too

has done whatever he needs to do at the Temple and no longer needs to worry about being ritually clean or pure —and that is important.

He sees the half-dead man, and he passes by on the other side.

And then along comes a Levite

—another priest of sorts and he is also going the same direction, coming down,

so he no longer needs to worry about ritual cleanliness either.

But the Levite, seeing the man lying there, passes by on the other side as well.

Now, typically we, Christians, have interpreted the story with incorrect assumptions about Jewish purity laws as good excuses for why the priest and Levite ignore the beaten man.

But the direction of travel is Luke's way telling us that they wouldn't have had to worry about those laws and codes.

And we have wrongly assumed that purity codes and rules would outweigh the covenant imperative to take care of one another.

"There is nothing impure about touching a person who is half dead.

Nor is there any sin in burying a corpse."

(Should the priest and Levite have thought he was dead)
The Babylonian Talmud

—a collection of rabbinic interpretations
 on the Torah written
 while the Jews were in Babylon in exile
 is very clear,

"As long as there are no other people
to look after the burial of the corpse,
the duty is incumbent
on the first Jew that passes by,
without exception,
to perform the burial."3

The Torah, the law, expects Jews
to act in justice, kindness and mercy
—and what we have in our story
are two men who fail to act. 4

And how often are we guilty of the same sin: of failing to act?

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> ibid, pg. 101

<sup>4</sup> ibid pg. 101



So if the priest and Levite fail to act, then who is next?

Jesus is building up to the third person

who will come down the road.

The expectation is
that this third person
will do that right thing,
since the priest and Levite have not.

And so of course everyone is expecting to hear that the third person to pass by would *a*) do the right thing and *b*) would be an Israelite.

So as the expectation builds for an Israelite to act in love, justice, kindness and mercy, Jesus has his own trick because instead of an Israelite, Jesus says a Samaritan passed by and has compassion for the beaten man.

Jews and Samaritans don't get along.
I'm understating years of animosity here.
They don't just not like each other.
Their hatred goes a long way back
—back to when the united Davidic kingdom split into the Northern and Southern kingdoms.
When the Southern Kingdom
declared its capital and holy place
as Jerusalem
and the Northern Kingdom
declared its capital and holy place as Samaria.

It's an old axe that's been grinding for hundreds of years.

Samaritans aren't just
a group of people that Jews don't care for;
they aren't these innocent neighbors
who just believe differently.

This isn't just Presbyterians and Baptists
who disagree about baptism
this is the Catholic and Protestants
in Dublin on Bloody Sunday.

This is Civil War era slave-holding Southerners and abolitionist freedom-fighting Northerners.

This is Pro-choice and Pro-life protestors who get out of control.

These are groups of people who dislike and hate each other enough to be violent, to go to war, to kill.

In this story, Samaritans
aren't seen [as] oppressed
but kind and benevolent figures,
they are [an] enemy
—the ones doing the oppressing.<sup>5</sup>

Teacher and leading Jewish New Testament scholar
Amy-Jill Levine explains that to a Jewish audience
and Luke's readers,
hearing about a, 'good Samaritan'
would make no more sense
than the idea of 'good rapist.'
It's as if Jesus told a story about
a preacher, a soup kitchen director and
a 'good serial killer.'6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> ibid, pg.104

<sup>6</sup> ibid, pg.105

Like whoa. Full stop.

What does it mean to have 'the criminal,' the oppressor, the enemy **stop**, and show love, kindness, justice, and mercy?

This isn't just prejudice,
this is seeing the one we hate,
the one we loathe,
the one we can't imagine having an ounce of compassion,
lifting us out of death and injury.



It's the black woman
who stops to help the white supremacist
lying in the ditch
wearing his bloodied, confederate flag t-shirt
and white hood.
It's a Samaritan's Purse worker walks on by;
a UN Aid Worker who walks past;
and finally, a young Muslim
who has just been working on a car bomb
stops and shows mercy:

I can't even exactly paint the picture, because we all hate different people.

How awful is it to even say that?

Who is my neighbor?

And I can't exactly paint the picture
because we have let ourselves believe
the lie,
the sin,
that there are irrevocable differences
between us and them
...whoever them might be.

Who is my neighbor?

We've let ourselves believe the lie and the sin

that I am only responsible for myself and my own family and no one else.

Who is my neighbor?

And if it weren't shocking enough,
to fathom the term, good terrorist, or good murderer,
as the one who shows mercy—
then chew on the fact
that when Jesus tells the story,
he tells it revealing the enemy
as the one who acts like God.

He ends his story. Which of these three, does it seem to you, was the neighbor?

Jesus pushes:

wanting the lawyer, the listeners, us to admit it out loud—

he is asking a question he already knows the answer to:

which of these three—does it seem to you was a neighbor to the one who fell among the robbers?' Martin Luther King Jr.
preached a sermon
on the Good Samaritan
pushing us to reexamine
how we answer, who is my neighbor:

"I'm going to tell you what my imagination tells me.
It's possible these men were afraid ...and so the first question the priest [and] Levite ask, was 'If I stop to help this man, what will happen to me?'

...But then the [Good] Samaritan came by, and he reversed the question: "If I do not stop to help this man, what will happen to him?"<sup>7</sup>

Who is my neighbor?

That is the question

our faith demands we ask ourselves.

To answer to each other,

in our neighborhoods
and on the other side of the tracks
inside our city limits and out in the countryside,
within the borders of our country

and just outside of them

—who is our neighbor,

and what will happen to them, if we fail to do the right thing?

<sup>7</sup> Ibid, pg. 102 from King's "I've Been to the Mountaintop" speech: <a href="https://www.biblegateway.com/blog/2012/04/why-didnt-they-stop-martin-luther-king-jr-on-the-parable-of-the-good-samaritan/">https://www.biblegateway.com/blog/2012/04/why-didnt-they-stop-martin-luther-king-jr-on-the-parable-of-the-good-samaritan/</a>

One more story, and this one is a folk tale from Burma:



Long ago a traveler

was walking through the jungles of Burma when he came upon a small village.

As the sun was going down,

he decided to just sleep along the roadside and enter the village in the morning.

Taking his coin purse from around his neck, he found a stone nearby

and hid his purse

so no one would take it as he slept.

As it turned out,

a villager had spotted him hiding the purse.

Late that night the villager stole the purse.

When the traveler awoke, the money was gone.

The traveler sat down beside the road and began to weep.

A crowd gathered,

curious about this distraught traveler on the edge of the village.

Before long

the mayor joined the crowd and inquired about the situation. He listened to the traveler and then asked to see the stone. The traveler walked a short distance to a round stone about the size of a man's head.

The mayor ordered, "Arrest that stone.

Bring that thief to the town square where I'll convene a court."

The villagers followed the mayor and the traveler to the town square.

Once the village elders were in place, the mayor convened the court.

The mayor asked the stone,
"What is your name?"
The stone was silent.

The mayor leaned forward closer to the stone and demanded, "Where did you come from?" More silence.

"Well at least tell me your age."

By this time some of the villagers were casting glances at each other.
Small smiles and puzzled looks were on the faces of the villagers.

The mayor pushed his face closer to the stone.

"So, you don't want to speak up?
Tell me, why were you loitering
outside our village?"

The villagers began to cover their mouths to muffle their laughter.

"So, were you looking for trouble?" Some of the villagers could not contain themselves any longer; they let out a laugh. The mayor turned to the crowd and declared,
"Show some respect. This is a court of law."

The mayor turned back to the stone.

"You will not answer my questions, so I hold you in contempt of court. In punishment, you will receive

thirty lashes with a stick."

The people could no longer contain themselves.

They let out uproarious laughter.

The mayor turned to them saying,

"Have you no respect for this court?

I fine every one of you a coin a piece."

One by one

the villagers came forward and dropped a coin in a bowl in front of the mayor.

The mayor then gave the coins to the traveler and apologized for the crime that had been committed outside of the village.

The traveler's eyes filled with tears, for what he had lost had been restored.

The mayor wished the traveler well and ordered the stone to be returned to the place where it was found.

People talked about this trial for some time.

Some thought the mayor acted foolishly, but most admitted the mayor acted with great wisdom.

Every time the villagers
walk past the stone,
they are reminded that they share the burdens
of one another
and all who pass their way.<sup>8</sup>

Who is our neighbor, and what will happen to them, if we fail to do the right thing?

Who is my neighbor? The answer will surprise you.

This is more than a story of unexpected kindness.
It is more than just doing one thing for eternal life.

It is a story where the one we least expect, the one we hate the one we refuse to love is the one who acts like God.

Which one of these was the neighbor?

Who is the one who showed love, justice, kindness, and mercy?

Have you ever asked a question you already knew the answer to?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The Rev. Canon David Lovelace, <a href="http://dav1.org/7302-there are no bystanders">http://dav1.org/7302-there are no bystanders</a>