Do you know what every associate pastor wants to preach on,
the Sunday after the senior pastor has done the Stewardship sermon?
The parable of the talents.
That's a joke for those of you at home ...which is everyone this week.

At first glance when it comes to talents,
we think yes, we get it,
we need to use our talents wisely,
which of course means
we need to use our money wisely.

And kudos to the Stewardship com. and volunteers

It was a great program this year—

and we're so techy

that we've got a stewardship card online!

So, act now—just call 1-800-257-1234

and if you call within the next 10 minutes...

But you know, there are things I'd just prefer not to preach on.
I have never really liked parable of the talents.
The master seems so harsh.
Like why it is a bad idea
to bury that one talent?

It was as common back then,
as it is now...
to take care of,
to make sure,
that your money is safe.

Remember the parable you told, Jesus, like ten chapters ago in Matthew, about the treasure *hidden in a field* which a man finds (does he have to dig it up?)

and then *hides again* (like bury it) and then he sells everything he has to buy that field?

I mean, at least the slave was honest when the master came back—

he could've said that he lost the talent and then maybe the master would have helped him search for it?

There's that wonderful story about sweeping the whole house until you find that one thing that you lost...

Or why not this scenario: Here's your talent
I watched over it,
robbers tried to take it
but I held fast,
aren't you glad Master,
that I didn't lose it?

I've always thought the punishment for the servant who hid his talent in the ground was so harsh, so, over the top, so exaggerated.

## *How exactly* is this

like the kingdom of heaven, Lord?

Where one risk that isn't taken,

where playing it safe

results in being thrown out in the dark

where there is great weeping

and gnashing of teeth...

I mean I'm just not feeling this parable. How about [are] you?

Is it because I've never been a finance kind of person?

Did the other two servants take financial planning classes in Hebrew school? They obviously knew how to make these talents, this money, grow!

Investing, trading, playing the stakes to their advantage; being willing to roll that financial dice and would you look at that gain!
Apparently two of them got 100% return on their investment.
Isn't that amazing?

Risks make me nervous, especially financial ones
—makes my palms sweaty.

I'm most like that last servant;

(which might be why I don't like the parable)

You see I'd plant my talent in nice clay pot, where I know it will get some good sun and I'd try and remember to water it occasionally.

then I'd dig it up and find that it has a nice earthy patina.

But there it is; didn't I take good care of it, Master?

Maybe some of this discomfort could be that this parable gives us an angry master who we assume is Jesus.

In fact, most of the parables in Matthew end with a person or group condemned for being wicked or foolish.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Paul Simpson Duke, The Great Texts: A Preaching Commentary, The Parables (Abingdon Press, Nashville, TN, 2005) pg.49

It makes Jesus (if he is the master) seem so judgmental, which is hard to reconcile, when we're only 14 days away from Advent and celebrating cute baby Jesus.

I have to keep reminding myself,
that a parable is always
an exaggerated story to make a point.
Which has to mean it can't only be about
successful returns on investment.
In fact I think if the servant
who had been given 5 talents
had only been able to increase that
by 2 % the master still
would have been pleased.

## It isn't the amount of increase that overjoys the master.

Those numbers are already so exaggerated:
one talent was **15 years worth of wages**.
So, anyone listening to Jesus tell this parable
would have been floored
to hear of any master who gave a servant
5 talents, **75 years worth of a salary**.

## And then to hear

that the servant doubled that

—150 years worth of salary— (Holy moly!)

it is so over the top it is was probably laughable.
In this parable, the amount of talents given and then returned,

## is generosity that can't be matched.

So the increase that the servants create can't be what makes the master happy.

It isn't a numbers and finance game, no one in real life would replicate that kind generosity or profit.

The talents must point
to a different kind of treasure.
A treasure that has the potential to grow
and grow exponentially.

If the parable does its job,
then it points to something bigger
and beyond the story itself.
So, knowing the treasure is something grand and wonderful,
perhaps we can read
the parable like this...

The kingdom of heaven is like this:

There was a man, a master,

who was as close to God as it gets

when it comes to extravagant generosity.

(I want you to keep that in mind)

And the master knew

he was going on a long trip of sorts, a long trip where his being away was going to be hard on everyone.

So, he called in 3 of his closest managers and handed his treasure over to them.

Since he was going to be gone for a while,
he was hoping that the 3 men
would be able to take this treasure,
and do something enormously generous
and therefore,
life changing for others.

Knowing that changing people's lives and world would be costly, he gave **all he had** to the those three.

To one manager, he gave 5 talents or 75 years worth of treasure.

And to another he gave 2 talents or 30 years worth of treasure, and to another he gave 1 talent, or 15 years worth of treasure.

He gave to each of them according to what he felt would be good for them to handle. Then he was gone.

Now in after quite some time

this master returned and was eager to hear
if the 3 managers had been successful
at doing something meaningful
with the treasures he given them.

Had they been willing to take such an enormous generosity and do anything?

Well it turns out 2 of those 3 men had!

Two of them were wildly successful—
it was a risky business
to take such a treasure
but they make it grow,
and though it probably hadn't been easy
they stuck with it
in the master's absence.

The man, the master was overjoyed!

That is exactly what he'd hoped for

when he'd given them all of his treasure

and had gone away.

But that last manager wasn't successful.

The third man was too cautious or complacent
—the jury is still out—
and according to the third man the master
was unfair in how he dealt with others

He claimed, you see,
the master reaped
where he didn't sow;
turns out it this extravagant master
left no instructions
where generous treasure was concerned.

and this treasure.

The third man remembered that time
there was a stranger casting out demons
in the master's name
but he wasn't on the master's paid staff
—and the third, overly-certain manager,
remembered how the master hadn't minded at all;
saying, that whoever wasn't against them was for them.
Oh yes, the master reaped
where he didn't sow alright.

So, the third man

hadn't done anything with his talent:

he'd done absolutely nothing

with the treasure the master had given him.

He'd buried it to be exact

so that no one could even tell

he'd ever been given any treasure

at all.

And when the master found out,
he was so heart-broken and disappointed,
he was upset.
The master told him,
you had such potential
and you've wasted it;

you buried my treasure in such a deep well
that you ended up defensive and protective
over something you didn't do anything for.
Instead of generous
you were greedy.
Don't you remember it was a gift in the first place?

You think I reap where I don't sow?

Are you upset that I am so generous?

You did the exact opposite
of what I'd hope you'd do with my treasure.

Well, the third man replied,
 I've seen you before giving out treasure
 to every Tom, Sally and Mary!
 You were so generous to everyone
 and that made it seem to me
 that you must not care about the treasure at all
 if you were willing
 to give away in such a hasty manner.

What happens when you tell me to give someone your treasure and **they just walk away**?! What happens when they don't want it?

Seems like that is a complete waste!

Doesn't it make more sense
to just hold on to it,
when you know I'll take good care
of the treasure;
when you know
I can be trusted with the treasure?
Besides, you didn't give us any parameters
on how to handle it
when the treasure got out of hand!

The master looked at that third man and said, but if you had been willing to see that the treasure is mine to give and yours to share, you would have had a much fuller life.

If you had really looked at my treasure,
instead of burying it,
you would have seen that it is
by its very nature,
meant to be shared.

Instead, now you're just someone
who buried the treasure and kept it to yourself,
which made you lonely
and cold-hearted,
it made you cautious and suspicious
and it made you unhappy
instead of full of life.

The parable may not have ever been about financial success.

But perhaps it was about the treasure and generosity and compassion.

The gospel, the world changing treasure;

the good news,

that in Christ,

God is extravagantly, over the top, generous.

Being given any measure of talent,

knowing any fraction of Christ's gospel

means that we'll be willing

to risk the good news of life transformation on any and all of God's people; which will be pretty risky.

And I know that some of us don't feel quite like risk takers.

Especially after a year like this one.

The parable points out

we do our selves in for some weeping
and gnashing of teeth,
and lonely, dark nights
if we aren't willing to give
even a part of what we have been given
over to God's people
in God-given generous ways.

The numeric outcome of souls converted isn't the concern, but the effort, the willingness to risk -to get out there and do something —that is what this master is about; an unstable, uncertain, risky personal adventure.

What we often remember is

the harsh punishment from the master at the end of the parable
—but that focus comes
at the expense of forgetting
the generosity of the master
in the first place.

The parable says he gave to these slaves, each according to his ability.

And he gave generously.<sup>2</sup>

The master gave with the kind of generosity that is so over the top and so extravagant that it cannot be outdone.

Until it is.

Until two of these servants

are able to do exactly what the master did

with the treasure

—multiply it in abundance!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Ibid pg. 53

This is a master who starts out with generosity and does nothing but give.

Even when the third man says, here take what is yours back, the master gives that away too.

This master is overjoyed when the generosity of his treasure yields even more.

When more generosity is generated the master says,
I will keep on giving to you.

Isn't that the message?

We can't know

what giving others the treasure will result in;

we can't know

how giving others, the good news might end up

—we can't know

the gain or success or even the failure.

It isn't ours to know and it never has been.

What is ours to know,

is when we crack this parable open

we learn that it points

to a God who is more generous than we can imagine or comprehend,

and who invites us to be as generous

as the master is himself.

To risk the treasures on others.

To live lives that can take a the gospel

to 75 people

and watch it become 150 people.

Or maybe it won't.

Maybe it will just blossom to 30,

or yield nothing at all.

That is of course the risky business of generosity with this treasure.

Most of us think that risk taking is all about the results it produces.

But I think this parable tells us that if we are willing to take the risk, then we can in good faith leave the rest to the Master.

When we see not a harsh master but a generous one,
what might we accomplish on the master's behalf?

It seems God is willing
to risk being generous on us,
and if we want to live in new life,
then we'll have to dig up our talent
and be generous with it,
even if it has a slight patina to it.